

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/760,066

Applicant(s)

SCHUSTER, KARL-HEINZ

Examiner

Evelyn A. Lester

Art Unit

2873

**All Participants:**(1) Evelyn A. Lester.(2) M. Robert Kestenbaum (reg.no. 20,430).**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claim 7

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 7 was dependent on a cancelled claim and needed correcting. Permission was granted by the Applicant's representative to the Examiner to amend claim 7, to put it in better condition for allowance. Please note Examiner's amendment for actual changes made..

